Fletcher Prouty
4201 Peachtree Place
Alexandria, VA 22304

9/17/91

Dear Fletch,

As always, your letter of the 11th is interesting and, as always, there is in what I'd like to go into and now can't. For example, the interesting things you said about the movie Stone is making and the devotion to detail. What I think you do not realize is that he presented this movie as a recording of their "history" for the people in which he'd tell them "who" killed their President, "why" and "how." It was not until he learned about Gardner's story that he started backtracking on this. He launched it and got the funding for it as non-fiction and that it is not and cannot be. Yet to continue the false representation of it there has been simply enormous attention to detail, some quite costly and contentious. And not necessary in a work of non-fiction. He can't take back the representations he has made and he can't make a movie based on Garrison's book and Marrs' compendium of the various theories and not be deceiving and misleading the people and making a false history of his own preference.

On our losing weight: I've lost some but I'm the same size and the difference is that from inactivity I've swapped a little muscle for quite a bit of fat.

Those whow wanted him out of the way, words you address to the German banker, can also be applied to JFK. But the multitude this "those" is! And we do not know enough to eliminate most or to incriminate any to the exclusion of others. This is because there never was any real investigation.

(Your mention of geese is interesting, "like Canada geese deciding to go south..." This and flying north are both instictive with geese, as with all fowl, and if I recall correctly, it is triggered by light stimulation of the pituitary. But it is not a conscious decision by them and it is so powerful that the parents will and do abandon their young when the time comes to fly south if the young are not fully fledged.)

While I am inclined to believe that a bullet struck in the grassy area, we actually do not have proof of this and the object in the picture said to show it appears to be too large for a bullet, I have no knowledge of the "villages" in which you say assassins are trained and I do think it would be quite useful if you would find the time to type up what you say and learned there and what you heard about them and their profession. I'd be quite interested and I think Wrone and a local historian and dear fried, Jerry McKnight, also would be.

You are correct, the flying machine I saw when a boy, at Giuseppe Bellanca's plant/airport near New Castle, DL, was then referred to as an "autogiro." My recollection is that it was shaped like the commerical planes of that era, looked mostly like one.

I do not believe that Beschloss and Mangold were paid by the CIA. Each had his own interest. Mangold got what made his book and Beschloss is one of the whores who have career interests. But I call to your attention that Mangold's book pins it all on Angleton,

as Woodward's did on Casey, and each was institutional exculpation, If I am correct, and I've writtrn Mangold and he has not denied it - or responded at all- then the CIA was repaid as it wanted to be.

Re the Bay of Pigs, the CIA (meaning those fartherest to the right in it) did select those who were to have been the political leadership, they also had Hunt writing a constitution for their "free" Cuba.

My reference to what I'd understood about Navy planes protecting the B26s did not refer to their first attack. They then did not get all Castro's jet trainers. It is my understanding that under pressure and belately JFK gave permission for the Navy planes to go with the B26s for that one time at the time of the invasion and it was then that they were an hour late. I see you recall the date, 4/16/61. It was prohibited but wasn't much else done then and since? I have not seen any published reference to the Bundy call or to Taylor's letter to the President of 6/13/61 and if you can provide a copy I'd certainly like to have it on file. Thanks if you can.

On the garden cart, we both remember Archimedes. I can't handle any real weight now but when I was not inform I also used leverage to lift heavy loads I could roll in. Only I have a considerably larger cart, 20" wheels, steel body, and I'd roll massive chuncks of trunk in, move and stack them where I wanted them, and then split them. Even when I had to sit down and had to replace the maul with an engineer's hammer when I had to sit. And loved it! But when I have boys helping now they lack such knowledge. I had to show them how to load easily what they could not lift. And I mean high-school atheletes.

Glad you have consulting work that interests you - and that it pays. I do a fair amount, make no charge for it, and almost always I'm trying to help those with whom I do not agree. So, you are licky!

Thanks and our best,

Harold